


PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional) 200-1297 RLC	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on <u>6/26/06</u> Signature <u><i>Saundra M. Lewis</i></u> Typed or printed name <u>SAUNDR M. LEWIS</u>		Application Number 10/064,731 Filed August 12, 2002 First Named Inventor Charette et al. Art Unit 2857 Examiner Charioui, Mohamed	
<p>Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.</p> <p>This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.</p> <p>The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.</p>			
I am the <input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor. <input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/98) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. 33,311 Registration number _____ <input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____		<div style="text-align: center;">  _____ Signature Raymond L. Coppiellie _____ Typed or printed name 313-337-1069 _____ Telephone number <u>6/26/06</u> _____ Date </div>	
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.			
<input type="checkbox"/> *Total of _____ forms are submitted.			

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/064,731
Filing Date: August 12, 2002
Applicant: Charette et al.
Group Art Unit: 2857
Examiner: Charioui, Mohamed
Title: Method and Apparatus for Objective Measurement of Noise

Attorney Docket: 200-1297-RLC

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REASONS SUPPORTING APPLICANT'S PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed March 27, 2006, to which a timely three-month response is due by June 27, 2006, Applicants hereby file a Notice of Appeal and in addition file a Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review. Applicants respectfully request a review of the final rejection in the above-identified application for the following reasons.

In addition to applicants' remarks set forth in the communication filed January 4, 2006 applicants point out that Rayment '298 fails to disclose establishing a threshold metric based on sound level. In Rayment '298 the stored data is based on the frequency at which the sound or noise appears, not the level thereof. Specifically, the stored data has a high-low frequency measure with a mean frequency and frequency range. (Col. 3, ll. 57-67 and Col. 4, ll. 15-18.) Accordingly, Rayment '298 does not teach establishing a threshold metric based on a sound level. Further, as conceded by the Examiner, Rayment '298 fails to teach measuring the sound level emitted from the


product. As pointed out previously, Rayment '298 does not disclose measuring sound levels.

Turning now to Uhlig '063, Uhlig '063 discloses measuring the decay rate of the sound level after a peak is reached. The decay is recorded and graphed on a decibel versus time plot. (Col. 4, ll. 32-33.) The disclosure states that the measured values of interest are the frequency of the waveform generator at triggering; i.e., when the waveform generator produces peak output amplitude and the slope of the sound level decay curve. (Col. 4, ll. 34-37.) These two values are used to determine vibration damping performance referred to as the Q-factor. (Col. 1, ll. 47-50; Col. 5, ll. 6-10.) Accordingly, Uhlig '063 does not measure the sound level emitted from the product, instead Uhlig '063 uses a waveform generator to input vibrational energy into a component to excite the component to a resonant frequency and then measures of the rate of decay of the sound level once the vibrational energy input is eliminated.

Rayment '298 is concerned with when the sound starts and when it ends, not the level or amplitude of the sound. Uhlig '063 teaches exciting the part or component to a peak sound level based on a resonant frequency and then measuring the decay rate of the sound level. Nothing in either reference suggests or teaches the combination as proposed by the Examiner. Further, even combining these references with the "NVH Reduction Trends" as proposed by the Examiner does not result in applicants' claimed invention.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicant(s)

By: 
Raymond L. Coppiellie
Registration No. 33,311
Attorney for Applicants

Dated: 6/24/06

Ford Global Technologies LLC
330 Town Center Drive, Ste 800 South
Dearborn, MI 48126
Phone: (313) 337-1069